

# lecture 24. Further Properties of Eigenvalues & Eigenvectors.

## 1. Similarity transformation.

recall when we consider  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  as a linear map

$$T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \quad T(u) = Au.$$

under standard basis,  $T$  has  $A$  as its matrix rep.

If we change the basis to  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , a nonsingular matrix,  $T$ 's matrix rep w.r.t.  $X$  is given by

$$X^{-1}AX.$$

The transformation  $A \rightarrow X^{-1}AX$  is called a similarity transformation, and two matrices

$A$  and  $B$  are similar, if there's a nonsingular  $X$   
s.t.  $X^{-1}AX = B$ .

Let's take a look at the eigenvalues/eigenvectors  
of  $A$  and  $X^{-1}AX$ . let.

$$A\alpha = \lambda\alpha, \text{ then } (X^{-1}AX)(X^{-1}\alpha) = \lambda(X^{-1}\alpha)$$

$(\lambda, \alpha)$  is an eigenpair of  $A$  iff.

$(\lambda, X^{-1}\alpha)$  "  $X^{-1}AX$ .

Th. If  $A$  and  $B$  are similar, then they have the  
same list of eigenvalues, and their eigenvectors  
are related by  $\alpha \rightarrow X^{-1}\alpha$ .

⚡ In fact,  $\det(X^{-1}AX - \lambda I) = \det X \det(A - \lambda I) \det X^{-1}$   
here's another perspective,  $= \det(A - \lambda I)$

Th. If  $A$  is triangular, then the eigenvalues are the diagonal entries of  $A$ .

Proof.  $\det(A - \lambda I) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} - \lambda & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & a_{nn} - \lambda \end{pmatrix}$   
 $= (a_{11} - \lambda) \cdots (a_{nn} - \lambda)$

Question: Can we use similarity transformations to reduce  $A$  to upper triangular form, thus find all the eigenvalues of  $A$ ?

Th. (Schur Decomposition) For  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , there is a unitary matrix  $U$  ( $U^H U = I_n$ ) s.t.  $U^H = U^{-1}$

$$U^H A U = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of spectral decomposition.

Question: Is there a similarity transformation that reduce  $A$  to diagonal form?

Not necessarily, if  $X^{-1}AX = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$

$A$  is said to be diagonalizable, and

$$p(A) = X \begin{pmatrix} p(\lambda_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & p(\lambda_n) \end{pmatrix} X^{-1} \text{ when } p \text{ is a polynomial.}$$

All matrices are not diagonalizable

1) For example, if the eigenvectors  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , corresponding to eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are linearly independent,

then  $A$  is diagonalizable

2) If  $A$ 's eigenvalues ~~are~~  $\lambda_i$  are all distinct,  $A$  is diagonalizable

Question: what is the "simplest" form of a matrix

$A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  under similarity transformation.

## Application of diagonality

Consider two functions  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$f(x) = \frac{a_{11}x + a_{12}}{a_{21}x + a_{22}}, \quad g(y) = \frac{b_{11}y + b_{12}}{b_{21}y + b_{22}}$$

$a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ .  
both are ~~are~~ <sup>two</sup> ratios of linear polynomials, consider

$$f \circ g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}. \quad f \circ g(x) = f(g(x))$$

it turns out  $f \circ g$  is also a ratio of two linear polynomials

$$f \circ g(x) = \frac{c_{11}x + c_{12}}{c_{21}x + c_{22}}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

If we define  $f^0(x) = x$ ,  $f^1(x) = f(x)$ , and

$$f^n(x) = f(f^{n-1}(x)), \quad \text{it's easy to see}$$

$$f^n(x) = \frac{a_{11}^{(n)}x + a_{12}^{(n)}}{a_{21}^{(n)}x + a_{22}^{(n)}} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^{(n)} & a_{12}^{(n)} \\ a_{21}^{(n)} & a_{22}^{(n)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}^n$$

If  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$  is diagonalizable

$$A = X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} X^{-1}, \quad A^n = X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^n & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^n \end{pmatrix} X^{-1}$$

We can write  $a_{ij}^{(n)}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ , explicitly

Th. (Jordan Decomposition) For  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , there's  
 $X$  nonsingular, s.t.  $X^{-1}AX = J$ , where  $J$  is  
the Jordan canonical form. It's block diagonal  
and has diagonal blocks like

$$J_i = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & & & \lambda_i \end{pmatrix}_{k \times k} \quad k \geq 2$$

---

It's easy to see,  $J_i$  has  $k$  eigenvalues  $= \lambda_i$ , but  
 $\dim(N(J_i - \lambda_i I_k)) = k - 1 < k$ .

th. (Cayley-Hamilton Th) let  $p_A(x)$  be the characteristic polynomial of  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , then

$$p_A(A) = 0$$

Proof. If  $A$  is diagonalizable,  $A = X \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} X^{-1}$

$$p_A(\lambda_i) = 0, \lambda_i = 1, \dots, n.$$

$$p_A(A) = X \begin{pmatrix} p(\lambda_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & p(\lambda_n) \end{pmatrix} X^{-1} = 0.$$

For general  $A$ , the result still holds.

(by a limiting argument)

Consider  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A - \lambda I_2) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} a-\lambda & b \\ c & d-\lambda \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (a-\lambda)(d-\lambda) - bc = a - (a+d)\lambda + (ad-bc) \end{aligned}$$

Vieta th. says if  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  are the two roots of  $x^2 + \alpha x + \beta = 0$ .

$$(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2) = x^2 + \alpha x + \beta$$

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = -\alpha, \quad \alpha_1 \alpha_2 = \beta.$$

If  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are the eigenvalues of  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = a + d, \quad \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = ad - bc$$

$$= \text{trace}(A) \quad = \det A.$$

Trace of  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  :

Def.  $\text{trace}(A) = a_{11} + a_{22} + \dots + a_{nn}$

the sum of the diagonal elements of  $A$ .

Th.  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ ,  $\text{trace}(AB) = \text{trace}(BA)$

proof direct verification

---

$$(i,i)\text{-element of } AB = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{ki}$$

$$\text{trace}(AB) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{ki}$$

$$(k,k)\text{-element of } BA = \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ki} a_{ik}$$

$$\text{trace}(BA) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ki} a_{ik}$$

Th.  $\text{trace}(X^{-1}AX) = \text{trace}(X)$

Proof.  $\text{trace}(X^{-1}AX) = \text{trace}(AXX^{-1}) = \text{trace}(A)$

Th.  $\text{trace}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$ , where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$ , counting multiplicity.

Proof. Use Schur decomposition

$$U^{-1}AU = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & * \\ & \lambda_2 & \\ 0 & & \ddots \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{trace}(A) = \text{trace}(U^{-1}AU) = \text{trace} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & * \\ & \lambda_2 & \\ 0 & & \ddots \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i.$$

Th.  $\det(A) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$  ( $= \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$ ).

Proof. Notice  $\det S^{-1} = (\det S)^{-1}$ , use Schur D.

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = \det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & * \\ 0 & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} = \det(U^{-1}AU)$$

$$= \det U^{-1} \det A \det U = \det A.$$